UNITED	LAWYERS
--------	---------

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX	
JESUS VARGAS,	Index No. 22230-06
Plaintiff, - against -	VERIFIED ANSWER
LEONARDO RODRIGUEZ and FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP.,	
Defendants.	

Defendants, FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION and LEONARDO RODRIGUEZ (collectively hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), by their attorney, ANTHONY W. ECKERT, III, ESQ., as and for their Verified Answer to the Verified Complaint, allege as follows:

## AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FIRST: Deny information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1", "3" and "16" of the Verified Complaint herein.

SECOND: Deny the allegations set forth in paragraphs "2", "4", "11", "13", "15", "18", "19", "20", "21", "22", "23", "24" and "25" of the Verified Complaint herein.

THIRD: Deny the allegations set forth in pargraphs "5", "6", "7", "8", "9", "10", "12", "14" and "17" of the Verified Complaint herein and refer all questions of law to the Court for determination.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

<u>FOURTH</u>: If plaintiff sustained or suffered damages as alleged in the Verified Complaint, which Defendants expressly deny, such damages were caused in whole or in part by plaintiff's negligence, culpable conduct, or assumption of risk, and to the extent of her culpability, plaintiff is barred from recovery or, alternatively, shall have her damages reduced in the proportion that her culpable conduct bears to all conduct causing or contribution to such damages.

# AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

<u>FIFTH</u>: If plaintiff sustained or suffered damages as alleged in the Verified Complaint, which Defendants expressly deny, such damages were suffered and sustained by reason of the negligence or other culpable conduct of persons or entities over whom Defendants exercised no control or supervision, and not by reason of any culpable conduct of Defendants.

# AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

SIXTH: Plaintiff did not sustain serious and permanent injuries as defined by Section 5102 of the Insurance Law of the State of New York, and her exclusive remedy is confined and limited to the benefits and provisions of Article 51 of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.

## AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

SEVENTH: Plaintiff's action is barred by Article 51, Section 5104 of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.

# AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

EIGHTH: Plaintiff's recovery, if any, shall be reduced by the amount of any collateral payments received, in accordance with CPLR §4545.

## AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

NINTH: The Verified Complaint fails to state a cause of action against Defendant upon which relief may be granted.

#### AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

TENTH: Plaintiff's injuries were caused in whole or in part by her own negligence and, accordingly, her claim is barred or reduced by the applicable state law of comparative negligence.

#### AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

ELEVENTH: Defendant asserts that this case falls within the limited liability provisions of section 1601 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and that the Defendant's liability for non-

economic loss, if any, shall be limited to its equitable share determined in accordance with the relative culpability of each person causing or contributing to the total liability.

## AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

TWELFTH: Plaintiff's claims are barred and/or diminished in that plaintiff was not wearing a seatbelt at the time and place of the incident described in plaintiff's Verified Complaint. That any damages, injury and/or injuries sustained by plaintiff were caused in whole or in part by the failure of plaintiff to use seatbelts and/or other safety restraint devices which would have avoided or mitigated plaintiff's damages, and the amount of damages found, if any, are to be apportioned among the plaintiff and the Defendants according to the degree of responsibility that each is found to have in the occurrence in proportion to the entire responsibility of the occurrence.

#### AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

THIRTEENTH: That the Court does not have jurisdiction over the person of the Defendants herein, in that the Summons and Verified Complaint were not personally served upon the Defendants.

#### AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE <u>DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS</u>

<u>FOURTEENTH</u>: The plaintiff has failed to use all available means to mitigate damages.

#### AS AND FOR TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE **DEFENSE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS**

FIFTEENTH:

Plaintiff's causes of action are time-barred pursuant to the applicable

Statute of Limitations.

WHEREFORE, Defendants Federal Express Corporation and Leonardo Rodriguez demand judgment dismissing plaintiff's Verified Complaint herein, together with costs and disbursements of this action and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York January 31, 2007

Yours, etc.

ANTHONY W. ECKERT, III, ESQ.

Anthony W. Eckert, III

**Attorney for Defendants** 90 Park Avenue, 18th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 455-9249

TO: DON A. CARLOS, JR., ESQ.

> Attorneys for Plaintiff 352 Seventh Avenue

Suite 1500

New York, New York 10001

(212) 631-7442

**VERIFICATION** 

STATE OF NEW YORK ) ss:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

ANTHONY W. ECKERT, III, an attorney admitted to practice in the State of New

York, affirms:

I am the attorney of record for defendants FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION

and LEONARDO RODRIGUEZ in the within action. I have read the foregoing Verified Answer

and know the contents thereof; the same are true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters

therein stated to be alleged on information and belief; and as to those matters I believe them to be

true.

I further state that the reason this Verification is made by me and not by said

defendant is because said party do not reside in New York County, where my office is located.

The grounds of my belief as to all matters not stated to be upon my knowledge, are

investigative materials contained in my file and conversations with said defendant.

I affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: New York, New York

January 31, 2007

Anthony W. Eckert, III

-6-

#### AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NEW YORK ) ss.: COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

Yvette Morales, being duly sworn deposes and says that deponent is not a party to the action, is over the age of 18 and resides in Kings County.

On the 31st day of January 2007, deponent served the within VERIFIED ANSWER upon:

DON A. CARLOS, JR., ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff 352 Seventh Avenue Suite 1500 New York, New York 10001

the address(es) designated by said attorney(s) by depositing same enclosed in a post-paid properly addressed wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office within the State of New York.

Yvette Morales

Sworn to before me this 31st day of January, 2007

Notary Public

ANTHONY W. ECKERT III
NOTERY TUBE 15 HE OF NOW YORK
NO. OKTOWES 5028
Qualified in Tiery Fork Chamby
Commission Express Dec. 9, 20.

Index No. 22230-06

Year 2006

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX

JESUS VARGAS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

LEONARDO RODRIGUEZ and FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP.,

Defendants.

#### VERIFIED ANSWER

ANTHONY W. ECKERT, III, ESQ. Attorney at Law Attorney for Defendants 90 Park Avenue 18<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10016 Telephone (212) 455-9249 Facsimile (212) 455-9251

DON A. CARLOS, JR., ESQ. TO: Attorneys for Plaintiff 352 Seventh Avenue **Suite 1500** New York, New York 10001 (212) 631-7442

